

## Brigham Young University Law School BYU Law Digital Commons

---

### Utah Supreme Court Briefs

---

1990

# Trapp v. Salt Lake City : Unknown

Utah Supreme Court

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/byu\\_sc1](https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/byu_sc1)



Part of the [Law Commons](#)

Original Brief Submitted to the Utah Supreme Court; digitized by the Howard W. Hunter Law Library, J. Reuben Clark Law School, Brigham Young University, Provo, Utah; machine-generated OCR, may contain errors.

Greg R. Hawkins; Attorney for Appellee.

Craig G. Adamson; Eric P. Lee; Dart, Adamson & Kasting; Attorneys for Appellant.

---

### Recommended Citation

Legal Brief, *Trapp v. Salt Lake City*, No. 900485.00 (Utah Supreme Court, 1990).  
[https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/byu\\_sc1/3248](https://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/byu_sc1/3248)

This Legal Brief is brought to you for free and open access by BYU Law Digital Commons. It has been accepted for inclusion in Utah Supreme Court Briefs by an authorized administrator of BYU Law Digital Commons. Policies regarding these Utah briefs are available at [http://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/utah\\_court\\_briefs/policies.html](http://digitalcommons.law.byu.edu/utah_court_briefs/policies.html). Please contact the Repository Manager at [hunterlawlibrary@byu.edu](mailto:hunterlawlibrary@byu.edu) with questions or feedback.

UTAH SUPREME COURT  
JMENT BRIEF  
CKET NO. 900485

### ISSUE ALERT

The following cases raise the same issue:

- G140.08 Where plaintiff injured herself when she stumbled over missing brick in City sidewalk, did the City owe her a duty to maintain public areas free from defect, making the City liable under § 63-30-8, or is that section governed in the first place by § 63-30-10, rendering the City immune under the discretionary function exception? **Trapp v. Salt Lake City**, #900485, at issue 6/10/91.
- G140.08 Where child walking to school was forced to walk in the street, as City had not installed sidewalks, making the street unsafe and dangerous for pedestrians, and where child was killed while darting into street, did the City owe a duty to maintain the street safe under § 63-30-8, or was the City immune from suit under § 63-30-10, where it made the fiscal decision not to install sidewalks along the street where the child was killed. **Braitwaite v. West Valley City**, #900209, at issue 8/8/91.